

minimal utilization in food and non-food industries. The Porang tuber is known to contain glucomannans, including water-soluble polysaccharides with the capacity to cause a feeling of fullness after consumption. Porang flour is made into glucomannan flour for health benefits [8]. Moreover, glucomannan administration instigates the reduction of blood glucose levels in people with diabetes mellitus. Glucomannan increases prandial ghrelin reduction when given before glucose load and inhibits the increase in ghrelin [9]. Diabetics need low GI carbohydrates [10]. Hence, porang flake cereal products are expected to demonstrate these properties.

Mocaf is a form of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) flour, modified through a process of fermentation, drying, crushing and sifting, leading to the loss of characteristic aroma and taste [11]. This variety is more soluble in water, easier to expand after heat exposure, and also has properties similar to wheat flour. Cassava starch and polyaniline molecules can interact in the composite material to form hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl (OH) groups of glucose units [12]. The soluble dietary fiber content is estimated to be higher than cassava flour, and the calcium content is greater than the value reported in rice or wheat. Besides, the protein content is similar to wheat type II (i.e., medium protein content), and digestibility is relatively higher than cassava tapioca flour.

Therefore, this study is designed to determine the GI and glycemic load (GL) of flakes cereal products from MFFs treated with mocaf and porang flour. The GI is then tested using normal human subjects. This approach allows for collecting direct evidence on the effect of the flake products on human blood glucose.

II. MATERIAL AND METHOD

The research was conducted at the Food Processing Technology Laboratory, Food Analysis, Food Technology Study Program, University of Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Jawa Timur, Surabaya, East Java.

The materials used include the two types of mangrove fruit (pedada, and lindur), obtained from Sawohan village, Sidoarjo. Meanwhile, porang tubers and cassava were respectively procured from the Madiun and the traditional market of Rungkut Surabaya. Therefore, additional ingredients in the form of skim milk, salt, sugar, margarine, egg yolk, sugar syrup, SSL (Sodium Stearoyl Lactylate) and sodium bicarbonate were obtained from the Cakeshop in Wiguna Rungkut, Surabaya.

The materials for analysis include petroleum ether, selenium, sulphuric acid, NaOH, boric acid, methyl red indicators, hydrochloric acid, aluminum foil, sodium phosphate buffer, thermal enzyme, pepsin, pancreatin (pancreatic acid), ethanol, and acetone.

The research tools used include a set of flour and flake-making tools, cabinet dryers, ovens, and other glassware. Furthermore, Glucometer One Touch Select Simple, lancet needle, One Touch strip, weight scale, and sphygmomanometer were used for analysis.

This study consisted of three stages, including (1) the creation of mangrove fruit flour (pedada and lindur), porang flour and mocaf, (2) production of ten flake formulation, with a mangrove flour and porang flour to mocaf ratio of 0: 100, 10:90 and 20:80 (see Table 1), (3) This involves determining

the respective glycemic index. This study was approved by ethics commission no. 237/EC/KEPK/UNUSA/2019

A. Flour Making

Mangrove flour was collected and randomly selected from various parts of the fruit, brought into the laboratory, and freeze-dried. After that, the fruit is peeled, and processed into a blender pulp with distilled water (1: 3). The pulp is sieved for sowing and dried in a drying cabinet for 15-18 hours at a temperature of 50-60°C. After that, it is grounded to 80 mesh. Subsequently, each yield was analyzed for starch content before the flaking procedure.

B. Flakes Formulation

The flakes cereal-making process was performed by mixing sugar, egg yolk, margarine, and glucose syrup with a mixer at high speed. This was followed by adding skim milk, SSL, and 0.5 g sodium bicarbonate. Therefore, mangrove fruit flour (pedada and lindur) was added alongside porang or mocaf, in a ratio of 0: 100; 10:90 and 20:80 (Table 1), and further mixed to attain a homogeneous smooth mixture. The dough was flattened to \pm 1mm and cut to size 1.5x1.5 cm, before roasting in an oven at 160 °C for 10 minutes. Subsequently, the flake products were subjected to proximate analysis, to evaluate the starch and total sugar content.

TABLE I
FLAKES FORMULATION

| Flake Formulation | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Code | Flour | Ratio (%) |
| MFF ₀ M ₁₀₀ | Pedada : Mocaf | 0 : 100 |
| MFF ₀ R ₁₀₀ | Lindur : Porang | 0 : 100 |
| P ₁₀ M ₉₀ | Pedada : Mocaf | 10 : 90 |
| P ₂₀ M ₈₀ | Pedada : Mocaf | 20 : 80 |
| P ₁₀ R ₉₀ | Pedada : Porang | 10 : 90 |
| P ₂₀ R ₈₀ | Pedada : Porang | 20 : 80 |
| L ₁₀ M ₉₀ | Lindur : Mocaf | 10 : 90 |
| L ₂₀ M ₈₀ | Lindur : Mocaf | 20 : 80 |
| L ₁₀ R ₉₀ | Lindur : Porang | 10 : 90 |
| L ₂₀ R ₈₀ | Lindur : Porang | 20 : 80 |

Note: MFF= Mangrove Fruit Flour (Pedada or Lindur); L = Lindur; P = Pedada; R = Porang; M = Mocaf

C. Proximate Analysis

The moisture content was determined according to AOAC [13]. This required drying the samples in an air oven at 101°C for about 10 hours to attain constant weights before cooling in a desiccator. Furthermore, the sample was reweighed, and the moisture was determined by estimating the difference between fresh and dry weights. Ash content was determined by heating the samples in a muffle furnace at 550°C for several hours. Therefore, the percentage value was calculated by subtracting the ash weight from the initial.

Crude protein was analyzed using the Kjeldahl method. This involved treating the samples with the three essential steps of digestion, distillation, and titration, using a conversion factor of 6.25 to convert total nitrogen to crude protein. The percentage value was then calculated. Therefore, subtracting the sum of fat, protein, ash, and moisture content from 100 yielded the total carbohydrate content. Crude fat was determined by weighing 5.0 g of each sample wrapped in a filter paper and placed in a Soxhlet apparatus, using petroleum ether. This process was performed for 4 hours, and

the extracted remnant materials after solvent evaporation were weighed to determine the fat content [14].

D. Determination of Glycemic Index and Glycemic Load

The glycemic index (GI) value was determined using blood samples of subjects, including exclusively University of Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" students. A total of 30 prospective candidates were initially screened, and only 22 were selected. These were subsequently divided into 11 groups, comprising one control (pure glucose), and then treated with flakes product, with each comprising two individuals. The measurement of GI values was initiated by subjecting each respondent to fasting at night (except water) for ± 10 hours (starting at 22:00 a.m.–8:00 a.m.). Therefore the test food was consumed in the form of flakes cereal in the morning, and about 20 μ L blood samples were collected after 30 minutes, through the fingers. This sampling process was performed every 30 minutes for 2 hours, and the blood glucose levels were determined using the One Touch Select Simple Glucometer. The result shown by the test equipment is graphed with the X-axis representing time (in minutes), and the Y-axis denotes the blood glucose level (mg/dL). Furthermore, the area under the curve was calculated, and the

value obtained was compared with standard food (pure glucose) [15]. Subsequently, glycemic index and glycemic load (GL) were calculated.

E. Sensory Evaluation

The sensory evaluation used hedonic scale scoring, with 25 male and female panellists selected from Food Technology Department, University of Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran" Jawa Timur, Indonesia. These professionals were asked to evaluate the flake product, in terms of color, taste, aroma, and crispness, with a scale scoring 1-5. The rate of 5 denoted favored, while 1 for disfavoured

F. Data Analysis

The glycemic index and glycemic load data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. Therefore, comparisons between treatments were performed using the Duncan post hoc test, at a significance level of 5 %, using Minitab V 17 software. The sensory evaluation data were evaluated using Frietman test.

G. Research Flowchart

In making it easier to know the data collection process, you can see the research flowchart in Figure 1.




Fig. 1 Research Flowchart

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Chemical Composition of Flours

The chemical composition of flours used determines the quality of the flake produced. Therefore, this raw material was analyzed for moisture, ash, fat, protein, carbohydrate, starch, amylose, and dietary fiber content. The results are shown in Table 2. The moisture content of all flour (6.09% - 11.41%) was good and in accordance with the SNI quality standard for flour (13.00%) (SNI, 2011). The ash content analysis results

were quite diverse, ranging from 0.61% - 7.05%, with pedada fruit flour (PFF) measuring 7.05%. The present value Current 4.65-5.65% for Lindur and 4.10-4.17% for Pedada. In addition, 0.61% was estimated in mocaf flour, indicating a value lower than the SNI 7622-2011 provisions of 1.50%. Meanwhile, 4.51% determined in porang. The differences in results are instigated by variations in the location of raw material acquisition. This consequently influences the overall nutritional and ash content, and also affects dough stability. Moreover, high ash content was implicated in the dark coloration observed in the product, and vice versa.

TABLE II
CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF FLOURS

| Parameter | Pedada Fruit Flour (PFF) | Lindur Fruit Flour (LFF) | Mocaf Flour | Porang Flour |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Moisture | 9.39 | 11.41 | 7.32 | 6.09 |
| Ash | 7.05 | 2.44 | 0.61 | 4.51 |
| Fat | 0.28 | 2.39 | 1.82 | 0.27 |
| Protein | 4.19 | 5.29 | 1.29 | 3.22 |
| Carbohydrate | 79.09 | 78.47 | 88.96 | 85.92 |
| Dietary Fiber | 4.56 | 3.52 | 0.56 | 4.82 |
| Starch | 0.00 | 67.46 | 85.26 | 81.40 |
| Amylose | 19.31 | 16.16 | 16.61 | 17.17 |

The fat content derived in this study was 0.28% for PFF, 2.39% for lindur fruit flour (LFF), 1.82% for mocaf flour, and 0.27% for porang flour. These analysis values are not significantly different from the outcome at 0.36%, 0.89%, 0.40%, and 0.60% [6], respectively. In addition, variations reported are possibly caused by differences in cassava types used as raw material. Also, the composition is influenced by variety, age of harvest, climate, treatment, and soil fertility. In

addition, distinct processing into mocaf influences the end product obtained.

The flour protein content ranged from 1.29 - 4.19%, indicating a variation, where lindur flour was 3.31%. Moreover, it showed a value of 1.20% in mocaf flour, while reported 2.35% in porang, and 3.57% in PFF [16]. These differences result from the blanching process in processing PFF, implicated in protein level reduction. The finding is supported by Abraha *et al.* [17] where a total nutrient loss comprising 40% minerals and vitamins, 35% sugar, as well as 20% protein was estimated. The drying process also instigates protein denaturation due to interrupted non-covalent interactions in the natural structure.

The dietary fiber assessed in PFF was 4.46, which was similar to the values obtained in porang flour, at 4.82, while mocaf generated the lowest levels. In addition, the starch content of all samples was high, except for PFF, estimated to contain no starch, as well as amylose.

B. Proximate of flakes product

Table 3 shows the flakes product proximate analysis.

TABLE III
PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF FLAKES PRODUCT

| Code Formulation | Moisture | Ash | Protein | Fat | Carbohydrate by different (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| MFF ₀ M ₁₀₀ | 4.14 ^a | 2.16 ^{de} | 3.81 ^e | 6.63 ^{ab} | 83.63 ^{bc} |
| MFF ₀ R ₁₀₀ | 3.67 ^{bc} | 2.99 ^{ab} | 4.41 ^{ab} | 6.08 ^d | 83.52 ^a |
| P ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 3.96 ^{ab} | 2.40 ^d | 3.10 ^c | 7.13 ^{ab} | 81.59 ^f |
| P ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 3.78 ^{bc} | 2.85 ^{bc} | 4.14 ^{bc} | 7.63 ^{ab} | 80.04 ⁱ |
| P ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 3.60 ^{cd} | 3.46 ^{ab} | 4.50 ^{ab} | 6.25 ^{cd} | 83.13 ^a |
| P ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 3.17 ^g | 3.68 ^a | 4.63 ^{ab} | 6.49 ^{bc} | 81.01 ^{cd} |
| L ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 3.99 ^{bc} | 2.22 ^{de} | 3.91 ^d | 7.38 ^{ab} | 82.59 ^h |
| L ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 3.88 ^{bc} | 2.42 ^{bc} | 4.36 ^{bc} | 7.87 ^a | 81.80 ^e |
| L ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 3.55 ^{de} | 3.04 ^{ab} | 4.92 ^{ab} | 6.60 ^{bc} | 82.61 ^{cd} |
| L ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 3.40 ^f | 3.21 ^{ab} | 5.58 ^a | 7.08 ^{ab} | 81.55 ^g |

There was a decline in the product moisture content, which was congruent with the increasing proportion of MFF (PFF and LFF), either combined with mocaf or porang. This is influenced by the materials' starch and dietary fiber content, characterized by binding and ensuring higher water composition. The starch nature easily attaches and releases water [18]. Conversely, an increase in flake products' ash content is observed at a sequentially higher proportion of MFF (PFF and LFF). This is due to the initially high ash content in MFF raw materials, assumed to affect the final product. In addition, a similar trend was observed with protein flake levels, and the outcome was not in accordance with SNI standard number 01-4270-1996 (minimum 5%), except for flakes with the L₂₀R₈₀ formula.

The low protein percentage is also affected by roasting, carried out in the flakes creation process. This was due to heat's ability to allow unstable hydrophobic interactions between hydrogen bonds and non-polar components. Therefore, the kinetic energy is increased, causing the movement or fast vibration of protein building blocks, consequently damaging molecular bonds and the entire

structure. In addition, protein content possibly decreases due to heating, soaking, pH, and chemicals [19].

An increase in MFF and a decline in mocaf and porang flour led to elevated flakes fat levels due to the relatively higher MFF content. Furthermore, all four parameters impact on carbohydrate content, and the value recorded was in accordance with SNI standard number 01-4270-1996.

C. Glycemic Index and Glycemic Load

The selected research subjects comprise 7 men and 14 women with good health status, no history of diabetes, and are not currently on treatment, or under the influence of drugs and alcohol [6]. Table 4 shows the subjects' criteria.

The food provided to the subjects was equivalent to 50 g carbohydrate, calculated from the total glucose and starch content of the flake's cereal product. Hence, it is possible to provide the equivalent amount of test food, and Table 5 shows the estimated total injected by subjects. The carbohydrate content of products generated using each formula was determined by difference, which the starch composition was used to calculate the glycemic load.

TABLE IV
CRITERIA OF RESPONDENTS

| No. | Criteria Subjects | Range (units) |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Age | 20-23 years |
| 2 | Body weight | 40-80 kg |
| 3 | Height | 1.50-1.84 cm |
| 4 | Body mass index (BMI) | 16.87-28.69 kg/m ² |
| 5 | Blood pressure | 100/77-140/100 mmHg |
| 6 | Fasting blood glucose | 77-100 mh/dL |

Based on Table 5, the total glucose ranged from 26.94 %–30.71 %, while pure glucose was 94.53 %. In addition, the available carbohydrate was respectively in the span of 90.17 to 112.63 g, while the overall amount of test food varied between 43.79–54.34 g.

TABLE V
TOTAL GLUCOSE, AVAILABEL CARBOHYDRATE AND TOTAL FOOD TEST FOR FLAKES PRODUCTS AND STANDARD FOOD (PURE GLUCOSE)

| Code Formulation | Total glucose (%) | Available Carbohydrate (gram) | Food Test (gram) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Glucose | 94.53 | 94.53 | 52.89 |
| MFF ₀ M ₁₀₀ | 30.19 | 112.63 | 44.39 |
| MFF ₀ R ₁₀₀ | 30.71 | 96.01 | 52.08 |
| P ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 30.07 | 108.74 | 45.98 |
| P ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 29.38 | 104.54 | 47.83 |
| P ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 30.17 | 92.75 | 53.91 |
| P ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 29.91 | 90.17 | 55.45 |
| L ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 29.09 | 114.18 | 43.79 |
| L ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 26.94 | 110.11 | 45.41 |
| L ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 29.91 | 94.67 | 52.82 |
| L ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 28.34 | 92.01 | 54.34 |

Table 6 shows the average blood glucose level and responses measured from 22 subjects after the consumption of flakes and the test food.

TABLE VI
BLOOD GLUCOSE RESPONSE FOR FLAKES PRODUCT TREATMENT (MG/DL)

| Code Formulation | minutes | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 0 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| Glucose | 96.0 | 172.5 | 153.5 | 132.5 | 121.0 |
| MFF ₀ M ₁₀₀ | 95.0 | 135.0 | 127.5 | 110.0 | 106.5 |
| MFF ₀ R ₁₀₀ | 95.0 | 127.5 | 119.5 | 114.0 | 107.5 |
| P ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 95.0 | 132.5 | 121.5 | 115.0 | 103.5 |
| P ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 97.0 | 121.5 | 121.0 | 116.5 | 110.0 |
| P ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 95.0 | 115.0 | 108.0 | 100.5 | 92.5 |
| P ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 90.5 | 124.0 | 114.0 | 109.5 | 102.0 |
| L ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 93.5 | 125.5 | 118.5 | 107.5 | 100.0 |
| L ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 97.5 | 132.5 | 120.0 | 113.5 | 106.5 |
| L ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 97.0 | 120.5 | 116.5 | 115.0 | 107.5 |
| L ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 98.0 | 130.5 | 123.5 | 111.5 | 101.5 |

Table 6 shows higher response to the increased blood glucose from pure glucose, compared to the flakes cereal product. However, there was better control with MFF₀M₁₀₀ and MFF₀R₁₀₀ compared to other treatments (Fig. 2). Glucose levels increase at 30 minutes and consequently decrease at the 60th to 120 minutes. The decline from 30 to 120 minutes for P₁₀M₉₀ was by 29.00 mg / dL (132.5-103.5 mg / dL) or 21.88%, 11.50 mg / dL (121.5-110.0 mg / dL) or 9.46% for P₂₀M₈₀, while P₁₀R₉₀ and P₂₀R₈₀ had similar outcome at 19.56% and 17.74%, respectively. Moreover, the L₁₀M₉₀; L₂₀M₈₀; L₁₀R₉₀; and L₂₀R₈₀ formulas decreased by 20.31%;

19.62%; 10.78% and 22.22%, correspondingly. These results are relatively lower than the values reported in flakes control products (MFF₀M₁₀₀ and MFF₀R₁₀₀), and the yield from PFF combined with mocaf and Porang are generally lower than LFF. In addition, glycemic index is estimated by calculating the area under the curve of each flakes product. Table 7 shows the outcome for the controls and flakes with substitutions of porang and mocaf flour.




Fig. 2 Curve blood glucose response for flakes product

Table 7 shows the lower glycemic index in flakes formulation of pedada and lindur flour mixed with mocaf flour (P₁₀M₉₀; P₂₀M₈₀; L₁₀M₉₀ and L₂₀M₈₀) compared to MFF₀M₁₀₀ (control). Similarly, the formulation with porang flour (P₁₀R₉₀; P₂₀R₈₀; L₁₀R₉₀ and L₂₀R₈₀) demonstrated lower result than the MFF₀R₁₀₀ control. This trend is possibly due to the total dietary fiber content, and the analysis results showed values of 66.50 %; 55.20 %; 64.82 % and 3.56 % respectively in pedada, lindur, porang, and mocaf flour. Therefore, the resulting products are estimated to demonstrate low GI.

TABLE VII
GLYCEMIC INDEX AND GLYCEMIC LOAD OF FLAKES PRODUCT FROM MANGROVE FRUIT FLOUR WITH MOCAF FLOUR AND PORANG

| Formulation | Starch (%) | Glycemic index (GI) | Glycemic load (GL) |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| MFF ₀ M ₁₀₀ | 74.94 | 51.02 | 12.72 |
| MFF ₀ R ₁₀₀ | 59.37 | 44.84 | 11.27 |
| P ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 71.52 | 44.78 | 10.96 |
| P ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 68.33 | 40.96 | 9.83 |
| P ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 56.89 | 40.61 | 10.12 |
| P ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 54.78 | 34.42 | 8.36 |
| L ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 77.35 | 48.27 | 11.96 |
| L ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 75.60 | 46.77 | 11.47 |
| L ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 58.87 | 42.77 | 10.59 |
| L ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 57.88 | 40.11 | 9.81 |

The dietary fiber ability to slow food rate in the digestive tract, and also inhibit enzyme activity. These activities lead to slower digestive processing, and consequently lower glucose response. Affiliated the hypoglycemic effect of dietary fiber with slow gastric emptying, alongside glucose diffusion and absorption, thus instigating lower blood glucose elevations [20]. Another factor influencing the product GI is the starch content (Table 7). In addition, formulations of pedada flour or lindur fruit flour substituted with mocaf flour, including P₁₀M₉₀, P₂₀M₈₀, L₁₀M₉₀, and L₂₀M₈₀, comprise relatively higher starch content of 71.52; 68.33; 77.35 and 75.60 compared to porang, encompassing P₁₀R₉₀, P₂₀R₈₀, L₁₀R₉₀ and

L₂₀R₈₀, at 56.89; 54.78; 58.87 and 57.88%. This flake cereal product composition affects the GI value, especially the amylose content, where analysis result showed a respective value of 16.16%, 16.61% and 15.17% for lindur, mocaf, and porang flours, while the pedada variety has 0%. The high amylose content in slower digestion is implied because the glucose polymer features a non-branching structure (more crystalline with extensive hydrogen bonds). In addition, the hydrogen bonds observed are relatively stronger than amylopectin, leading to greater difficulties in hydrolysis by digestive enzymes. The non-branching characteristics ensure stronger bonds and difficulties in the gelatinization process, consequently causing digestion challenges. Gelatinization is an important property of starch for biomedical applications [21]. However, the ease of bonding and crystallization is responsible for the effortless retrogradation, which is difficult to digest. The GI values are possibly divided into three categories, including low (<55); moderate (55–70); high (> 70) [22].

The proximate flakes cereal results show a fat and protein content range of 6.08–7.86% and 3.41–5.62%, respectively. These parameters are estimated to influence GI value. The proportions of ingredients in the formula are adjusted to allow for a higher fiber and protein content. Consumption of low GI foods supports the body's cells to utilize insulin more effectively [23]. High fat and protein contents are implicated in lower glycemic index value, compared to similar foods with lower percentage. The high-fat level slows down the gastric emptying time, and consequently the digestive rate in the small intestine. Meanwhile, elevated protein content stimulates insulin secretion; thus blood sugar is controlled to not be excessive. High-fiber foods have the least impact on trefoil insulin absorption and result in decreased plasma glucose levels [24]. The glycemic load of the product determined from the calculated glycemic index is multiplied by the carbohydrate content per serving. Therefore, the derived value is used to assess the impact of carbohydrate consumption, and Table 5 shows the analysis result. The formulations with pedada: porang flour proportion of 20:80 had the lowest value compared others. Hence, the product is recommended for consumption by diabetics and for dietary purposes, due to the low IG and GL values. The glycemic load successively decreases at lower carbohydrate levels [25]. Therefore, the result is included in the low category at <11, where medium is in the range of 11–19, and high at >20 [26]. The high propensity for foods with glycemic index and lower glycemic load slowly triggers a rise in blood glucose levels and generates lower peak responses. The lower the glycemic index, the better the material [27]. This phenomenon reduces the risk of hyperglycemia, as the ingredients hinder glucose absorption.

D. Sensory Evaluation

Sensory evaluation through hedonic scale scoring indicates the preference level for product color, flavor, aroma and crispness. Therefore, the final results are indicated by the total rank. Table 8 shows the highest total rank in flakes formulation comprising a mixture of lindur and mocaf flour (L₁₀M₉₀) after MFF₀M₁₀₀ (control). Conversely, the lowest was observed in the combination of pedada and porang flour (P₂₀R₈₀).

TABLE VIII
TOTAL RANK VALUE OF FLAKES PRODUCT

| Formulation | Color | Taste | Aroma | Crispness |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| MFF ₀ M ₁₀₀ | 243 | 241 | 217 | 196 |
| MFF ₀ R ₁₀₀ | 143 | 106 | 111 | 131 |
| P ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 189 | 188 | 196 | 191 |
| P ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 179 | 127 | 201 | 167 |
| P ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 109 | 115 | 136 | 142 |
| P ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 96 | 74 | 122 | 79 |
| L ₁₀ M ₉₀ | 212 | 217 | 195 | 209 |
| L ₂₀ M ₈₀ | 190 | 236 | 197 | 190 |
| L ₁₀ R ₉₀ | 116 | 135 | 119 | 137 |
| L ₂₀ R ₈₀ | 77 | 158 | 133 | 156 |

The addition of mocaf to both pedada and lindur flour samples generated a preferred product compared to the substitutions with porang. This is due to the high tendency of brown pigmentation after heating, therefore yielding flakes with less-favored colors. Regard the taste, formulations with lindur and mocaf were preferred to the mixture of pedada flour and mocaf, estimated to have high acid content. The porang flour was generally responsible for the disfavoured. This is also observed in terms of crispness, where the formulation mixture of lindur and mocaf was of greater preference. In addition, the manifestation results from the amylose content, as higher values facilitate the production of harder flakes

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results and discussion, flakes produced from a combination of pedada and lindur fruit flours with mocaf flour demonstrated GI values lower than the control (100% mocaf flour), at 40.96–48.27 with GL of 9.83–11.96. A similar outcome was observed in mixtures with porang flour, at 34.42–42.77, and 8.36–10.59, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Kemenristek Dikti, for providing research funds in the 2018-2019 PTUPT scheme.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. D. Singu, P. R. Bhushette, and U. S. Annature, "Survivability Assessment of *Saccharomyces boulardii* in a Symbiotic System Using Nutraceuticals and Modified Atmosphere Packaging," *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 693–704, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11947-020-02430-z.
- [2] B. Romão, R. B. A. Botelho, E. R. Alencar, V. S. N. da Silva, M. T. B. Pacheco, and R. P. Zandonadi, "Chemical composition and glycemic index of gluten-free bread commercialized in Brazil," *Nutrients*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 1–12, Aug. 2020, doi: 10.3390/nu12082234.
- [3] Jariyah, E. Yektiningsih, and U. Sarofa, "Carpathian journal of food science and technology evaluation of antidiabetic and anticholesterol properties of biscuit product with mangrove fruit flour (MFF) substitution," *Carpathian Journal of Food Science and Technology*, pp. 141–152, 2019, doi: 10.34302/2019.11.4.13.
- [4] J. Jariyah, E. Yektiningsih, U. Sarofa, and P. A. Sopadeo, "Effect of partial replacement of wheat flour with various mangrove fruit flours and different emulsifiers on physicochemical properties of biscuits," *Indonesian Journal of Agricultural Research*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 152–161, Sep. 2018, doi: 10.32734/injar.v1i2.318.
- [5] A. Zbikowska, M. Kozłowska, A. Poltorak, M. Kowalska, J. Rutkowska, and M. Kupiec, "Effect of addition of plant extracts on the durability and sensory properties of oat flake cookies," *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 1101–1111, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10973-018-7301-0.
- [6] E. Aritionang, A. Siagian, and F. Izzati, "Mixed cooked rice with purple

- sweet potato is potential to be the low glycemic index food and staple food alternative,” *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 580–586, 2017, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.7.2.1033.
- [7] L. Meija, G. Havensone, and A. Lejniaks, “Postprandial Glycaemic and Insulinaemic Responses after Consumption of Activated Wheat and Triticale Grain Flakes,” *Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism*, vol. 2019, 2019, doi: 10.1155/2019/6594896.
- [8] M. B. Hermanto, S. B. Widjanarko, W. Suprpto, and A. Suryanto, “The design and performance of continuous porang (*Amorphophallus muelleri* blume) flour mills,” *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 2021–2027, 2019, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.9.6.6396.
- [9] S. Chearskul *et al.*, “Immediate and long-term effects of glucomannan on total ghrelin and leptin in type 2 diabetes mellitus,” *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. e40–e42, Feb. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2008.11.014.
- [10] Tensiska, I. S. Setiasih, O. Suprijana, W. A. Qosim, and Y. Cahyana, “The Glycemic Index (GI) of Adlay (*Coix lachryma-jobi var-mayuen*) on processed products,” *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1058–1062, 2019, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.9.3.7419.
- [11] E. H. Kardhinata, E. Purba, D. Suryanto, and H. Rusmarilin, “Modified cassava flour (MOCAF) content of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* CRANTZ) in North Sumatera,” in *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/260/1/012088.
- [12] A. A. Arrieta-Almario, J. M. Mendoza-Fandiño, and M. S. Palencia, “Composite material elaborated from conducting biopolymer cassava starch and polyaniline,” *Revista Mexicana de Ingeniera Quimica*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 707–715, May 2020, doi: 10.24275/rmiq/Mat765.
- [13] M. A. Waheed and C. A. Komolafe, “Temperatures dependent drying kinetics of cocoa beans varieties in air-ventilated oven,” *Frontiers in Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 12, 2019, doi: 10.5098/hmt.12.8.
- [14] I. O. Ayanda, G. A. Dedek, U. I. Ekhat, and M. K. Etiebet, “Proximate composition and heavy metal analysis of three aquatic foods in Makoko River, Lagos, Nigeria,” *Journal of Food Quality*, vol. 2018, 2018, doi: 10.1155/2018/2362843.
- [15] G. K. W. Leung, C. E. Huggins, and M. P. Bonham, “Effect of meal timing on postprandial glucose responses to a low glycemic index meal: A crossover trial in healthy volunteers,” *Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 465–471, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2017.11.010.
- [16] A. Faridah, “Comperation of porang flour (*morphophallus muelleri*) purification method: Conventional maceration (gradient ethanol leaching) and ultrasonic maceration method using response surface methodology,” *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 265–272, 2016, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.6.2.769.
- [17] B. Abraha, H. Admassu, A. Mahmud, N. Tsighe, X. W. Shui, and Y. Fang, “Effect of processing methods on nutritional and physico-chemical composition of fish: a review,” *MOJ Food Processing & Technology*, vol. 6, no. 4, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.15406/mojfpt.2018.06.00191.
- [18] F. Zhu, “Modifications of starch by electric field based techniques,” *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, vol. 75, pp. 158–169, May 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2018.03.011.
- [19] M. Niyibituronsa *et al.*, “The effect of different processing methods on nutrient and isoflavone content of soymilk obtained from six varieties of soybean grown in Rwanda,” *Food Science and Nutrition*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 457–464, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1002/fsn3.812.
- [20] G. J. Campbell, A. M. Senior, and K. S. Bell-Anderson, “Metabolic effects of high glycaemic index diets: A systematic review and meta-analysis of feeding studies in mice and rats,” *Nutrients*, vol. 9, no. 7, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.3390/nu9070646.
- [21] H. Han *et al.*, “Insight on the changes of cassava and potato starch granules during gelatinization,” *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, vol. 126, pp. 37–43, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.201.
- [22] V. Chuy *et al.*, “Prospective Association Between Carbohydrate Intake And Physical Frailty Among Elderly Community-Dwellers: The Three-City Bordeaux Study,” *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*, vol. 40, p. 685, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2020.09.843.
- [23] A. Kumar *et al.*, “Phytic acid content may affect starch digestibility and glycemic index value of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)” *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, vol. 100, no. 4, pp. 1598–1607, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1002/jsfa.10168.
- [24] A. Khedkar *et al.*, “Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Insulin Tregopil in Relation to Premeal Dosing Time, Between Meal Interval, and Meal Composition in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus,” *Clinical Pharmacology in Drug Development*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 74–86, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1002/cpdd.730.
- [25] D. S. Hardy, J. T. Garvin, and H. Xu, “Carbohydrate quality, glycemic index, glycemic load and cardiometabolic risks in the US, Europe and Asia: A dose–response meta-analysis,” *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 853–871, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2019.12.050.
- [26] M. Peng, X. Li, Y. Liu, M. Zou, Y. Xia, and G. Xu, “Dietary glycemic index and glycemic load in relation to atherosclerotic stenosis of carotid and cardiovascular risk factors in ischemic stroke patients,” *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis*, vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 1152–1159, 2020, doi: 10.5551/jat.53843.
- [27] A. A. S. P. R. Andriani, M. H. N. Abd Hamid, M. S. Sahrom, M. S. M. Yusof, and B. M. Yamin, “Physical properties and glycemic index of organic and conventional black rice grown in Bali, Indonesia,” *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1688–1695, 2020, doi: 10.18517/ijaseit.10.4.10932.